

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/088,398	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SATO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gregg Cantelmo	<b>Art Unit</b> 1745	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Gregg Cantelmo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Sandra M. Katz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representative gave the Examiner permission to enter the Examiner's amendment to claim 7 as appears on the record*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Gregg Cantelmo* 3/30/04  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)